

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED |) | |
| LLC and MCM PORTFOLIO LLC, |) | Case Number: C 14-03640-CW |
| Plaintiffs, |) | [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING |
| vs. |) | STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF |
| CANON, INC., et al., |) | TIME TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANTS' |
| Defendants. |) | MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE |
| PLEADINGS |) | |
| TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED |) | |
| LLC and MCM PORTFOLIO LLC, |) | Case Number: C 14-03641-CW |
| Plaintiffs, |) | [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING |
| vs. |) | STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF |
| FALCON NORTHWEST COMPUTER |) | TIME TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANTS' |
| SYSTEMS, INC., |) | MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE |
| Defendant. |) | PLEADINGS |
| TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED |) | |
| LLC and MCM PORTFOLIO LLC, |) | Case Number: C 14-03643-CW |
| Plaintiffs, |) | [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING |
| vs. |) | STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF |
| HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, |) | TIME TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANTS' |
| Defendant. |) | MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE |
| TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED |) | |
| LLC and MCM PORTFOLIO LLC, |) | Case Number: C 14-03645-CW |
| Plaintiffs, |) | [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING |
| vs. |) | STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF |
| |) | TIME TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANTS' |
| |) | MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE |

| | | |
|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| NEWEGG INC., et al., |) | PLEADINGS |
| Defendants. |) | |
| TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED |) | |
| LLC and MCM PORTFOLIO LLC, |) | Case Number: C 14-03646-CW |
| Plaintiffs, |) | [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING |
| vs. |) | STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF |
| SEIKO EPSON CORPORATION, et al., |) | TIME TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANTS' |
| Defendants. |) | MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE |
| TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED |) | PLEADINGS |
| LLC and MCM PORTFOLIO LLC, |) | |
| Plaintiffs, |) | |
| vs. |) | |
| SHUTTLE INC., et al., |) | [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING |
| Defendants. |) | STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF |
| |) | TIME TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANTS' |
| |) | MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE |
| |) | PLEADINGS |

The Court GRANTS the parties' Stipulation for Extension of Time to Respond to Defendants' Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE